arXiv:hep-th/0306283v4 18 Nov 2003

$U(N)$ Framed Links, Three-Manifold Invariants, and Topological Strings

Pravina Borhade [1], P. Ramadevi[2]
Department of Physics,
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay,
Mumbai 400 076, India

Tapobrata Sarkar[3]
the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics,
Strada Costiera, 11 – 34014, Trieste, Italy

Abstract

Three-manifolds can be obtained through surgery of framed links in S^3. We study the meaning of surgery procedures in the context of topological strings. We obtain $U(N)$ three-manifold invariants from $U(N)$ framed link invariants in Chern-Simons theory on S^3. These three-manifold invariants are proportional to the Chern-Simons partition function on the respective three-manifolds. Using the topological string duality conjecture, we show that the large N expansion of $U(N)$ Chern-Simons free energies on three-manifolds, obtained from some class of framed links, have a closed string expansion. These expansions resemble the closed string A-model partition functions on Calabi-Yau manifolds with one Kahler parameter. We also determine Gopakumar-Vafa integer coefficients and Gromov-Witten rational coefficients corresponding to Chern-Simons free energies on some three-manifolds.

---

[1] E-mail: pravina@phy.iitb.ac.in
[2] Email: ramadevi@phy.iitb.ac.in
[3] Email: tapo@ictp.trieste.it

1 Introduction

After the second superstring revolution, several useful relations have been discovered unifying various ideas of physics and mathematics. One such surprising discovery in the recent past has been the new connections between Chern Simons gauge theory and the physics of closed topological string theory in certain backgrounds.

The initial steps in this direction was taken by Gopakumar and Vafa in [1], [2], [3]. The conjecture put forward by these authors relate large N Chern-Simons gauge theory on S^3, which is equivalent to A-twisted open topological string theory on T^*S^3 [4], to the A-type closed topological string theory on the resolved conifold. This conjecture was then tested at the level of the observables of the Chern Simons theory, namely the knot invariants. In [5], Ooguri and Vafa formulated the conjecture in terms of invariants for the unknot (a circle in S^3), and further checks were carried out for more nontrivial knots in [6], [7], [8], [9].

The evaluation of knot invariants in [5] actually led to very strong integrality predictions for the (instanton generated) A-model disc amplitudes, which were then verified from the more tractable mirror B-model side by several authors [10], [11], [12], [13].

Purely from gauge theory considerations, following the idea of ‘t Hooft [14], it looks to be a challenging problems to prove that the Feynmann perturbative expansion of any $U(N)$ gauge theory in the large N limit is equivalent to a closed string theory. It is believed that the Gopakumar-Vafa duality conjecture can provide insight in determining the ‘t Hooft expansion of $U(N)$ Chern-Simons free energy on any three-manifold M.

As we have already mentioned, the Gopakumar-Vafa duality conjecture states that $U(N)$ Chern-Simons theory on S^3, which describes the topological A-model of N D-branes on $X = T^*S^3$, is dual to topological closed string theory on $X^t = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \to \mathcal{P}^1$. Having verified the conjecture at the level of Chern-Simons partition function on S^3 and Wilson loop observables (the knot invariants), we need to understand the meaning of surgery of framed links in S^3 within the context of topological strings, and this is one of the issues we set out to address in this paper.

From the fundamental theorem of Lickorish and Wallace [15], it is well known that any three-manifold M can be obtained by surgery on a framed link in S^3. Further, two framed links related by a set of moves called Kirby moves determine the same manifold. In Chern-Simons theory, an algebraic expression has been derived [16], in terms of framed link invariants, which are unchanged under Kirby moves of the framed links. Hence the algebraic expression represents three-manifold invariants which are proportional to the Chern-Simons partition function ($Z[M]$) on the three-manifold M. Incorporating the results of the topological string duality conjecture, we determine large N expansion for $\ln Z[M]$ for many manifolds. Surprisingly, the expansion looks like an A-model closed string partition function on a Calabi-Yau space with one Kahler parameter.

We point out an important subtelty here. As is well known, the classical solutions of the Chern-Simons action on a general theree-manifold M are the flat connections on M. In the weak coupling limit, the Chern-Simons partition function gets contributions from a perturbative expansion around all such stationary points. Noting that the space of flat connections may be either a collection of a set of stationary points or a set of connected pieces, this partition function can be appropriately written as a sum or an integral over the space of flat connections. The large N expansion of 't Hooft is expected to relate the $1/N$ expansion of the Chern-Simons theory around a given flat connection to an A-type closed topological string theory. In [17], this has been shown from a matrix model approach, for the Lens space $\mathcal{L}(p, 1)$. In this paper, however, we show that the full Chern-Simons partition function ($\ln Z[M]$) has a closed string interpretation for a class of three manifolds.

Indeed, proposing new duality conjectures between Chern-Simons theory on general three-manifolds M and the corresponding dual closed string theories will involve the extraction of the partition function around individual flat connections, in lines with [17]. This will extend the original conjecture by Gopakumar and Vafa, for general manifolds M. We believe that our results on the invariants, involving the full partition function, would be useful in proposing and understanding fully the nature of such dualities. We will elaborate on this point further in the concluding section.

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we briefly recapitulate the framed link invariants in $U(N)$ Chern-Simons theory, and present the $U(N)$ three-manifold invariants obtained from framed link invariants in S^3. In section 3, we show the relation between the three-manifold invariants and the observables in topological string theory. Further, we obtain closed string invariants for the Chern-Simons free energies. Section 4 contains some explicit results on the Gopakumar Vafa coefficients corresponding to the large N expansion of the Chern-Simons free energy on some manifolds. Section 5 ends with some discussions and scope for future research. In an appendix, we present some results on the integer invariants for the unknot with arbitrary framing, which are useful for the computation of the

Gopakumar Vafa coefficients.

2 $U(N)$ Chern-Simons Gauge theory

Chern-Simons gauge theory on a three-manifold M based on the gauge group $U(N)$ is a factored Chern-Simons theory of two gauge groups, $SU(N)$ and $U(1)$. That is, the action is simply a sum of two Chern-Simons actions, one for gauge group $SU(N)$ and the other for $U(1)$, each with an independent coupling constant (k, k_1)

$$S = \frac{k}{4\pi}\int_M Tr\left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A\right) + \frac{k_1}{4\pi}\int_M Tr\left(B \wedge dB\right) \tag{2.1}$$

where A is a gauge connection for gauge group $SU(N)$ and B is the connection for $U(1)$. Clearly, the $U(N)$ partition function $Z_{\{U(N)\}}[M] \equiv Z[M]$ is just the product of two partition functions $[Z_{\{SU(N)\}}[M],\ Z_{\{U(1)\}}[M]]$

$$Z[M] = \int [\mathcal{D}B][\mathcal{D}A]\ e^{iS}\ . \tag{2.2}$$

We shall now briefly present the Wilson loop observables in the theory. The $U(N)$ Wilson loop operators for a r-component link L made up of component knots $\mathcal{K}_i$'s are simply factored Wilson operators of the $U(1)$ and $SU(N)$ theories

$$W_{\{(R_i,n_i)\}}[L] \ = \ \prod_{i=1}^{r} Tr_{R_i} U^{(A)}[\mathcal{K}_i]\ Tr_{n_i} U^{(B)}[\mathcal{K}_i]\ , \tag{2.3}$$

where $U^{(A)}[\mathcal{K}_i] = P\left[\exp \oint_{\mathcal{K}_i} A\right]$ denotes the holonomy of the $SU(N)$ gauge field A around the component knot $\mathcal{K}_i$ of a link L carrying representation R_i and $U^{(B)}[\mathcal{K}_i] = P\left[\exp \oint_{\mathcal{K}_i} B\right]$ denotes the holonomy of the $U(1)$ gauge field B around the component knot $\mathcal{K}_i$ carrying $U(1)$ charge n_i. The expectation value of these Wilson loop operators are the $U(N)$ link invariants which are products of $SU(N)$ and $U(1)$ invariants

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\{U(N)\}}_{(R_1,n_1),(R_2,n_2),\ldots(R_r,n_r)}[L,M] \ &= \ \langle W_{\{(R_i,n_i)\}}[L]\rangle = \frac{\int [\mathcal{D}A][\mathcal{D}B] e^{iS} W_{\{(R_i,n_i)\}}[L]}{\int [\mathcal{D}A][\mathcal{D}B] e^{iS}} \\ &= \ V^{\{SU(N)\}}_{R_1,R_2,\ldots R_r}[L,M]\ V^{\{U(1)\}}_{n_1,n_2,\ldots n_r}[L,M] \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

2.1 $U(N)$ Framed Link Invariants in S^3

The observables in $U(1)$ Chern-Simons theory on a three-sphere S^3 capture only self-linking numbers (also called framing numbers) and the linking numbers between the component knots of any link. Hence, the $U(1)$ link invariant will be

$$V^{\{U(1)\}}_{n_1,n_2,\ldots n_r}[L,S^3] \ = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi}{k_1}\sum_{i=1}^{r}\ n_i^2 p_i\right)\exp\left(\frac{i\pi}{k_1}\sum_{i\neq j} n_i n_j \ell k_{ij}\right) \ . \tag{2.5}$$

where p_i's are the framing numbers of the component knots $\mathcal{K}_i$'s and ℓk_{ij} are the linking numbers between the component knots $\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{K}_j$. From eqns. (2.4),(2.5), it is clear that the $U(N)$ link invariants coincides with $SU(N)$ invariants if and only if p_i's and ℓk_{ij} are zero.

The evaluation of $SU(N)$ framed link invariants from Chern-Simons theory on S^3 makes use of the two ingredients: (i) the connection between Chern-Simons field theory and the corresponding Wess-Zumino conformal field theory (ii) the fact that knots and links can be obtained by closure or platting of braids. We refer the reader to [18] [16] for detailed description of obtaining $SU(2)$ framed invariants and framed invariants from Chern-Simons theory on S^3 based on any arbitrary semi-simple group.

We shall now present the polynomials for various framed knots and links. For the unknot U with an arbitrary framing p, carrying a representation R of $SU(N)$, the polynomial is

$$V^{\{SU(N)\}}_R[0^{(p)},S^3] = q^{(pC_R)}\ V_R[U] = q^{-\frac{p\ell^2}{2N}}(q^{p\kappa_R} dim_q R\ ), \tag{2.6}$$

where $q = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{k+N}\right)$, ℓ refers to the total number of boxes in the Young-Tableau of the representation R and

$$\kappa_R = \frac{1}{2}\left(N\ell + \ell + \sum_i (l_i^2 - 2il_i)\right) \ , \tag{2.7}$$

with l_i being the number of boxes in the i-th row of the Young-Tableau of the representation R. One can verify that both the quantum dimension of a representation, $dim_q R$ and κ_R are polynomials in variables $\lambda^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$ and $q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$ where $\lambda = q^N$. The frame dependent term involves a variable $e^z = q^{\frac{1}{2N}}$. Hence the unknot invariants with framing $p \neq 0$ are no longer polynomials in variables $q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, \lambda^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$ but also involve one more variable $e^z = q^{\frac{1}{2N}}$.

In order to make the polynomials independent of the variable e^z, we can multiply by $U(1)$ invariant (2.5) with a specific choice of $U(1)$ charge n and coupling constant k_1

$$n = \frac{\ell}{\sqrt{N}}\ ; \quad k_1 = k + N\ . \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore the $U(N)$ invariant for the p-framed unknot in S^3 with the above choice of $U(1)$ representation and coupling constant is

$$V^{\{U(N)\}}_{(R,\frac{\ell}{\sqrt{N}})}[0^{(p)}, S^3] = q^{p\kappa_R} dim_q R \ . \tag{2.9}$$

Now, we can write the $U(N)$ framed knot invariants for torus knots of the type $(2, 2m+1)$ and other non-torus knots like 4_1, 6_1 in S^3. For example, the $U(N)$ invariant for a framed torus knot of type $K \equiv (2, 2m+1)$ with framing $[p-(2m+1)]$ will be

$$V^{\{U(N)\}}_{(R,\frac{\ell}{\sqrt{N}})}[K, S^3] = q^{p\kappa_R} \sum_{R_s \in R\otimes R} dim_q R_s \ (-1)^{\epsilon_s} \left(q^{\kappa_R - \kappa_{R_s}/2}\right)^{2m+1} \quad , \tag{2.10}$$

where $\epsilon_s = \pm 1$ depending upon whether the representation R_s appears symmetrically or antisymmetrically with respect to the tensor product $R \otimes R$ in the $SU(N)_k$ Wess-Zumino Witten model. Similarly, $U(N)$ invariants for framed torus links of the type $(2, 2m)$ can also be written. For example, the $U(N)$ invariant for a Hopf link with linking number -1 and framing numbers p_1 and p_2 on the component knots carrying representions R_1 and R_2 will be

$$V^{\{U(N)\}}_{(R_1,\frac{\ell_1}{\sqrt{N}}),(R_2,\frac{\ell_2}{\sqrt{N}})}[H^*(p_1,p_2), S^3] = q^{p_1\kappa_{R_1}} q^{p_2\kappa_{R_2}} \sum_{R_s \in R_1 \otimes R_2} dim_q R_s q^{\kappa_{R_1}+\kappa_{R_2}-\kappa_{R_s}} \ , \tag{2.11}$$

where ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 refers to total number of boxes in the Young-Tableau of the representations R_1 and R_2 respectively. From now on, we shall denote

$$V^{\{U(N)\}}_{(R_1,\frac{\ell_1}{\sqrt{N}}),(R_2,\frac{\ell_2}{\sqrt{N}}),\ldots(R_r,\frac{\ell_r}{\sqrt{N}})}[L, S^3] \equiv V^{\{U(N)\}}_{R_1,R_2,\ldots R_r}[L, S^3]$$

supressing the $U(1)$ charges as they are related to the total number of boxes in the Young-Tableau of the representations R_i's (2.8). Using the framed $SU(N)$ invariants for arbitrary framed links in S^3 [16], it is straightforward to obtain the corresponding $U(N)$ link invariants. We will now see how these $U(N)$ framed invariants in S^3 with such special $U(1)$ representation reflects on three-manifold invariants.

2.2 $U(N)$ Three-Manifold Invariants

The Lickorish-Wallace theorem states that any three-manifold M can be obtained by a surgery of framed knots and links in S^3. Two framed links related by Kirby moves will determine the same three-manifold. In other words, three-manifold invariants must be constructed from framed link invariants in such a way that they are preserved under Kirby moves. The $SU(N)$ three-manifold invariant $F[M]$ for a manifold M obtained by surgery of a framed link in S^3 will be [16]

$$F[M] = \alpha^{-\sigma[L]} \sum_{R_1,R_2\ldots R_r} \mu_{R_1,R_2\ldots R_r}(\{p_i\},\{\ell k_{ij}\}) V^{\{SU(N)\}}_{R_1,R_2,\ldots R_r}[L,S^3] \ , \tag{2.12}$$

where $\{p_i\},\{\ell k_{ij}\}$ are the framing and linking numbers and $\sigma(L)$ is the signature of the linking matrix of framed link L. It has been proven [16] that $F[M]$ is unchanged under the operation of Kirby moves on framed links if we choose

$$\alpha = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi c}{4}\right) \ , \quad \mu_{R_1,R_2\ldots R_r}(\{p_i\},\{\ell k_{ij}\}) = S_{0R_1}S_{0R_2}\ldots S_{0R_r} \ , \tag{2.13}$$

where $c = \frac{k(N^2-1)}{(k+N)}$ and S_{0R_i}'s denotes the modular transformation matrix elements. We see that $\mu_{R_1,R_2\ldots R_r}$ is independent of framing and linking numbers. We can now construct $U(N)$ three-manifold invariants from the $U(N)$ framed link invariants in S^3 as follows

$$\tilde{F}[M] = \beta^{-\sigma[L]} \sum_{\{R_i\}} \tilde{\mu}_{R_1,R_2\ldots R_r}(\{p_i\},\{\ell k_{ij}\}) V^{\{U(N)\}}_{R_1,R_2,\ldots R_r}[L,S^3] \ , \tag{2.14}$$

where β and $\tilde{\mu}$ must be chosen such that $\tilde{F}[M]$ is unchanged under Kirby moves on framed links. Further, for obtaining three-manifolds from knots and disjoint links with zero framing and linking numbers, we require

$$\tilde{F}[M] = F[M] \ , \ \text{as}\ V^{\{U(N)\}}_{R_1,\ldots R_r}[L,S^3] = V^{\{SU(N)\}}_{R_1,\ldots R_r}[L,S^3] \ . \tag{2.15}$$

Therefore, for $\{p_i\}=0, \{\ell k_{ij}\}=0$

$$\tilde{\mu}_{R_1R_2\ldots R_r}(\{p_i=0\},\{\ell k_{ij}=0\}) = \mu_{R_1R_2\ldots R_r} \ . \tag{2.16}$$

The special choice of the $U(1)$ representation and the above limiting conditions suggests that

$$\beta = \alpha \ , \qquad \tilde{\mu}_{R_1R_2\ldots R_r}(\{p_i\},\{\ell k_{ij}\}) = \mu_{R_1R_2\ldots R_r} e^{-z\left(\sum_i l_i^2 p_i + \sum_{i\neq j} l_i l_j \ell k_{ij}\right)} \ , \tag{2.17}$$

for $\tilde{F}[M]$ to be preserved under Kirby moves. Rewriting the $U(N)$ link invariants in terms of the $SU(N)$ invariants, it is obvious that $\tilde{F}[M]$ is the same as $F[M]$. Hence the three-manifold invariants do not distinguish between $U(N)$ and $SU(N)$ gauge groups and they are proportional to the partition function $Z[M]$ [16]:

$$F[M] = \frac{Z[M]}{Z[S^3]} \ . \tag{2.18}$$

The partition function on S^3 is equal to

$$Z[S^3] = S_{00} \ . \tag{2.19}$$

Let us introduce a slight modification in notation which will be useful when we relate these three-manifold invariants with expectation values of topological operators in topological string theory

$$V^{\{U(N)\}}_{R_1,R_2\ldots R_r}[L, S^3] = (-1)^{\sum_i \ell_i p_i} \lambda^{\frac{\sum_i \ell_i p_i}{2}} \tilde{V}^{\{U(N)\}}_{R_1,R_2,\ldots R_r}[L, S^3](q, \lambda) \ . \tag{2.20}$$

The $U(N)$ (or equivalently $SU(N)$) three-manifold invariants can be rewritten as

$$\frac{Z[M]}{S_{00}} = \frac{Z_0[M]}{S_{00}} + \mathcal{F}[M, z, \{p_i\}, \{\ell k_{ij}\}] \tag{2.21}$$

where $Z_0[M]/S_{00}$ is independent of z, $\{p_i\}$, $\{\ell k_{ij}\}$ and is given by

$$\frac{Z_0[M]}{S_{00}} = \alpha^{-\sigma[L]} \sum_{R_1,R_2,\ldots R_r} S_{0R_1} \ldots S_{0R_r} (-1)^{\sum_i \ell_i p_i} \lambda^{\frac{\sum_i \ell_i p_i}{2}} \tilde{V}^{\{U(N)\}}_{R_1,R_2,\ldots R_r}[L, S^3](q, \lambda) \ , \tag{2.22}$$

and $\mathcal{F}[M, z, \{p_i\}, \{\ell k_{ij}\}]$ contains the remaining z, $\{p_i\}$, $\{\ell k_{ij}\}$ dependent terms. For knots and disjoint links with zero framing and linking numbers, it is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{F}[M, z, \{p_i\}, \{\ell k_{ij}\}] = 0$ resulting in $Z[M] = Z_0[M]$. In the next section, we will show the natural appearance of $Z_0[M]$ in the context of topological strings.

3 Topological Strings

Gopakumar and Vafa have conjectured that closed topological string theory on a resolved conifold is dual to large N Chern-Simons gauge theory on S^3. The conjecture has been verified by comparing the large N expansion of the free-energy of the Chern-Simons theory on S^3 with the closed topological string amplitude near the resolved conifold. This duality relates the Chern-Simons field theory variables q and λ with the string theory parameters

$$q = e^{g_s} \quad , \quad \lambda = e^t = e^{N g_s} \ , \tag{3.1}$$

where g_s is the string coupling constant and t is the Kahler parameter of the resolved conifold. With the above identification between the variables q, λ with g_s, t, the Chern-Simons variable e^z is

$$e^z = e^{g_s/2N} \ . \tag{3.2}$$

The large N expansion is performed by taking the limits

$$g_s \to 0 \text{ and } N \to \infty \ . \tag{3.3}$$

In this limit, the variable $z = g_s/2N$ can be set to zero. This suggests that the z independent part of the three-manifold invariant, namely, $Z_0[M]/S_{00}$ can be compared with quantities on the topological string side.

Ooguri and Vafa found another piece of evidence for this duality conjecture by showing that the Wilson loop operators in Chern-Simons theory correspond to certain observables in the topological string theory. The operators in the open topological string theory which contains information about links is given by [5]

$$Z(\{U_\alpha\},\{V_\alpha\}) = \exp\left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r}\sum_{d=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{d}\mathrm{Tr}U_\alpha^d\ \mathrm{Tr}V_\alpha^d\right] \tag{3.4}$$

where U_α is the holonomy of the gauge connection A around the component knot $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ carrying the fundamental representation in the $U(N)$ Chern-Simons theory on S^3, and V_α is the holonomy of a gauge field $\tilde{A}$ around the same component knot carrying the fundamental representation in the $U(M)$ Chern-Simons theory on a Lagrangian three-cycle which intersects S^3 along the curve $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$.

We can use some group theoretic properties to show that the expectation value of the operator (3.4) exactly matches $Z_0[M]/S_{00}$ provided we choose the rank of the two Chern-Simons gauge groups to be same ($N = M$). If we expand the exponential in eqn. (3.4), we will get

$$Z(\{U_\alpha\},\{V_\alpha\}) = 1 + \sum_{\{\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}\}}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{r}\frac{1}{z_{\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}}}\gamma_{\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}}(U_\alpha)\gamma_{\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}}(V_\alpha) \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$z_{\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}} = \prod_j k_j^{(\alpha)}!\, j^{k_j^{(\alpha)}}\ ,\ \gamma_{\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}}(U_\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{Tr}U_\alpha^j\right)^{k_j^{(\alpha)}}\ . \tag{3.6}$$

Here $\vec{k}^{(\alpha)} = (k_1^{(\alpha)}, k_2^{(\alpha)}, \ldots)$ with $|\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}| = \sum_j k_j^{(\alpha)}$ and the sum is over all the vectors $\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}$ such that $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r}|\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}| > 0$. Using the group theoretic properties

$$\gamma_{k_1}(U_1)\ldots\gamma_{k_r}(U_r) = \sum_{R_1,\ldots R_r}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{r}\chi_{R_\alpha}(C(\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}))\mathrm{Tr}_{R_1}(U_1)\ldots\mathrm{Tr}_{R_r}(U_r)\ , \tag{3.7}$$

$$\sum_{\vec{k}}\frac{1}{z_{\vec{k}}}\chi_{R_1}(C(\vec{k})))\chi_{R_2}(C(\vec{k}))) = \delta_{R_1R_2}\ , \tag{3.8}$$

where $\chi_{R_\alpha}(C(\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}))$'s are characters of the symmetry group S_{ℓ_α} with $\ell_\alpha = \sum_j j k_j^{(\alpha)}$ and $C(\vec{k}^{(\alpha)})$ are the conjugacy classes associated to $\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}$'s (denoting $k_j^{(\alpha)}$ cycles of length j), one can show that the eqn. (3.5) becomes

$$Z(\{U_\alpha\},\{V_\alpha\}) = \sum_{\{R_\alpha\}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r} \mathrm{Tr}_{R_\alpha}(U_\alpha)\mathrm{Tr}_{R_\alpha}(V_\alpha) \ . \tag{3.9}$$

Ooguri and Vafa have conjectured a specific form for the vaccum expectation value (vev) of the topological operators (3.4) for knots [5] invoking the large N topological string duality. This result was further refined for links [8, 19] which is generalisable for framed links as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Z(\{U_\alpha\},\{V_\alpha\})\rangle_A &= \exp\left[\sum_{d=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\{R_\alpha\}} \frac{1}{d} f_{(R_1,\ldots R_r)}(q^d,\lambda^d) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r} \mathrm{Tr}_{R_\alpha} V_\alpha^d\right] \ , & (3.10)\\ f_{(R_1,R_2,\ldots R_r)}(q,\lambda) &= \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_\alpha \ell_\alpha p_\alpha} \sum_{Q,s} \frac{1}{(q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2})} N_{(R_1,\ldots R_r),Q,s} q^s \lambda^Q & (3.11) \end{aligned}$$

where the suffix A on the vev implies that the expectation value is obtained by integrating the $U(N)$ gauge fields A's on S^3, and ℓ_α is the total number of boxes in the Young Tableau of the representation R_α. Further, for framed links $N_{(R_1,\ldots R_r),Q,s}$ are integers only if expectation value of the $U(N)$ Wilson loop operators in S^3 are defined as [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r} \mathrm{Tr}_{R_\alpha}(U_\alpha)\rangle &= (-1)^{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} \ell_\alpha p_\alpha} V_{R_1,\ldots R_r}^{\{U(N)\}}[L,S^3] & (3.12)\\ &= \lambda^{\sum_\alpha \frac{\ell_\alpha p_\alpha}{2}} \tilde{V}_{R_1,\ldots R_r}^{\{U(N)\}}[L,S^3](q,\lambda) \ . & (3.13) \end{aligned}$$

This is justified since the holonomy V_α on the Lagrangian three-cycle $\mathcal{C}$, under change of framing becomes $\tilde{V}_\alpha = (-1)^{p_\alpha} V_\alpha$ [9], which is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R_\alpha}\tilde{V}_\alpha = (-1)^{\ell_\alpha p_\alpha} \mathrm{Tr}_{R_\alpha} V_\alpha \ . \tag{3.14}$$

If we also integrate the $\tilde{A}$ fields in the Chern-Simons field theory on the Lagrangian three-cycle then vev of the topological operator (3.9) is

$$\langle Z(\{U_\alpha\},\{V_\alpha\})\rangle_{A,\tilde{A}} = \sum_{R_1,\ldots R_r} \langle \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r} \mathrm{Tr}_{R_\alpha} V_\alpha\rangle \ \langle \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r} \mathrm{Tr}_{R_\alpha} U_\alpha\rangle \ . \tag{3.15}$$

where we need to determine the expectation value of the Wilson loop operators on the Lagrangian three-cycle $\mathcal{C}$ with Betti number $b_1 = r$ which are non-compact. For these non-compact Lagrangian three-cycles, it appears to be possible to deform knots and links into unknot and disjoint collection of unknots respectively. Therefore, it is convincing to assume

$$\langle \mathrm{Tr}_{R_\alpha} \tilde{V}_\alpha \rangle = \mathrm{dim}_q R_\alpha \equiv \frac{S_{0R_\alpha}}{S_{00}} \ . \tag{3.16}$$

Even though the assumption looks logical, finding a proof still remains a challenging question. Substituting eqns.(3.12), (3.16) in (3.15) and comparing with eqns.(2.22), (2.20), we get the relation

$$\alpha^{\sigma[L]} \frac{Z_0[M]}{(S_{00})^{r+1}} = \langle Z(\{U_\alpha\}, \{V_\alpha\}) \rangle_{A,\tilde{A}} \ . \tag{3.17}$$

Also, from eqn.(3.10), we get

$$\ln \left(\alpha^{\sigma[L]} \frac{Z_0[M]}{(S_{00})^{r+1}} \right) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{R_1, \ldots R_r} \frac{1}{d} f_{(R_1, \ldots R_r)}(q^d, \lambda^d) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r} \langle \mathrm{Tr}_{R_\alpha} V_\alpha^d \rangle \ . \tag{3.18}$$

In the limit when $N \to \infty$ and $g_s \to 0$, the Chern-Simons partition function $Z[M]$ can be approximated to $Z_0[M]$ (2.22) and the above equation relates the Chern-Simons free energy to the expectation value of the topological link operator (3.4) in topological closed string theory. It is appropriate to mention that the information of other three-manifolds obtained from surgery on framed links in S^3 in Chern-Simons theory is captured by integrating both the A and $\tilde{A}$ gauge fields in the topological string theory. It will be interesting to see whether the Chern-Simons free energy ($\mathrm{lnZ_0[M]}$) can be shown to be equal to the closed string partition function in these cases. We will see later that the Chern-Simons free energy in fact resembles the A-model partition function.

Before proceeding to the large N expansion of the free energy, we briefly recapitulate some salient features of the A-model topological string partition function on Calabi-Yau manifolds.

3.1 The A-model Topological String Partition Function

The A-model topological partition function on a Calabi-Yau manifold X with some number of Kahler parameters denoted by t_i's is defined as

$$\hat{F}(X) = \sum_g g_s^{2g-2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_g(\{t_i\}) \ , \tag{3.19}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_g(\{t_i\}) = \sum_{\{\beta_i\} \in H_2(X, \mathbf{Z})} N^g_{\{\beta_i\}} e^{-\vec{\beta}.\vec{t}} \tag{3.20}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_g(\{t_i\})$ are the A-model topological string amplitudes at genus g and $N^g_{\{\beta_i\}}$ are the closed string Gromov-Witten invariants associated to genus g curves in the homology class $\vec{\beta}$. In Ref. [3], a strong structure result has been derived from M-theory for the topological string partition function

$$\hat{F}(X) = \sum_{\{m_i\},r\geq 0,d>0} \frac{1}{d}\, n_{r,\{m_i\}} (2\sinh\frac{dg_s}{2})^{2r-2} \exp[-d(\sum m_i t_i)] \tag{3.21}$$

where $n_{r,\{m_i\}}$ are integers usually referred to as Gopakumar-Vafa invariants. Clearly, the genus g Gromov-Witten invariants $N^g_{\{\beta_i\}}$ will involve the set of Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $n_{r\leq g,\{m_i\}\leq\{\beta_i\}}$.

We would like to derive a large N closed string expansion for the Chern-Simons free energy $\ln Z_0[M]$ on any three manifold M (3.18) and show that it has the structure (3.21). It is not a priori clear whether the Chern-Simons free energy on any M will have a closed string interpretation. Interestingly, using the duality connection between Chern-Simons theory on S^3 and topological strings and some properties of group theory, we will show that the free energy (3.18) does have the form (3.21) for a subset of knots and links.

We can write the RHS of the eqn. (3.18) as follows

$$\sum_{R_1,\ldots R_r} f_{(R_1,\ldots R_r)}(q^d,\lambda^d) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r} \langle \mathrm{Tr}_{R_\alpha} V_\alpha^d \rangle = \\ \sum_{\vec{k}^{(1)},\ldots\vec{k}^{(r)}} f_{\vec{k}^{(1)},\ldots\vec{k}^{(r)}}(q^d,\lambda^d) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r} \frac{1}{z_{\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}}} \langle \gamma_{\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}}(V_\alpha^d)\ \rangle, \tag{3.22}$$

where $f_{\vec{k}^{(1)},\ldots\vec{k}^{(r)}}$ is the character transform of $f_{R_1,\ldots R_r}$ whose form has been derived in [8], namely,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\vec{k}^{(1)},\ldots\vec{k}^{(r)}}(q,\lambda) &= \left(\frac{\prod_j (q^{\frac{j}{2}} - q^{-\frac{j}{2}})^{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} k_j^{(\alpha)}}}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2}\right) (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\left[\sum_\alpha p_\alpha \left(\sum_j j k_j^{(\alpha)}\right)\right]} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_Q \sum_{g\geq 0} n_{(\vec{k}^{(1)},\ldots\vec{k}^{(r)}),g,Q}\ (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2g} \lambda^Q\ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$n_{(\vec{k}^{(1)},\ldots\vec{k}^{(r)}),g,Q} = \sum_{R_1,\ldots R_r} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r} \chi_{R_\alpha}(C(\vec{k}^{(\alpha)})) \hat{N}_{(R_1,\ldots R_r),g,Q}\ \ . \tag{3.24}$$

$\hat{N}_{(R_1,\ldots R_r),g,Q}$ are integers which compute the net number of BPS domain walls of charge Q and spin g transforming in the representation R_α of $U(M)$ in the topological string theory. As V_α's correspond to disjoint unknots with appropriate sign corresponding to the framing numbers, we can write

$$\langle \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r} \gamma_{\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}}(V_\alpha^d)\rangle = (-1)^{d\left[\sum_\alpha p_\alpha\left(\sum_j jk_j^{(\alpha)}\right)\right]} \left(\frac{\prod_j(\lambda^{\frac{dj}{2}} - \lambda^{-\frac{dj}{2}})}{(q^{\frac{dj}{2}} - q^{-\frac{dj}{2}})}\right)^{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} k_j^{(\alpha)}} . \tag{3.25}$$

Incorporating the above results in eqn.(3.18), we get

$$\ln\left(\alpha^{\sigma[L]}\frac{Z_0[M]}{(S_{00})^{r+1}}\right) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty}\sum_{g,Q}\frac{1}{d}\left(2\sinh\frac{dg_s}{2}\right)^{2g-2}\{\lambda^{dQ} \times \tag{3.26}$$

$$\sum_{\vec{k}^{(1)},\ldots\vec{k}^{(r)}} n_{(\vec{k}^{(1)},\ldots\vec{k}^{(r)}),g,Q}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{r}\left(\frac{1}{z_{\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}}}(-\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})^{dp_\alpha\left(\sum_j jk_j^{(\alpha)}\right)}\prod_j(\lambda^{-\frac{dj}{2}} - \lambda^{\frac{dj}{2}})^{k_j^{(\alpha)}}\right)\}$$

The RHS of the above equation has the structure of the free energy for a closed string (3.21) provided we can prove that the expression within parenthesis satisfies

$$\{\lambda^{dQ}\sum_{\vec{k}^{(1)},\ldots\vec{k}^{(r)}} n_{(\vec{k}^{(1)},\ldots\vec{k}^{(r)}),g,Q}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{r}\left(\frac{1}{z_{\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}}}(-\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})^{dp_\alpha\left(\sum_j jk_j^{(\alpha)}\right)}\prod_j(\lambda^{-\frac{dj}{2}} - \lambda^{\frac{dj}{2}})^{k_j^{(\alpha)}}\right)\} \tag{3.27}$$

$$= \sum_{\{m_i\}} n_{g,\{m_i\}}e^{-d\sum_i m_i t_i}$$

Identifying, $\lambda = \exp(t)$, we see that the above relation will be true for Calabi-Yau spaces with one Kahler parameter after performing appropriate analytic continuation of the variable $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}$ [5]. We should be able to extract the integer invariants (the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants) from the open string invariants $n_{(\vec{k}^{(1)},\ldots\vec{k}^{(r)}),g,Q}$. Using the results obtained in Ref. [8], one can show that

$$(-\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})^{dp_\alpha\left(\sum_j jk_j^{(\alpha)}\right)}\prod_j(\lambda^{-\frac{dj}{2}} - \lambda^{\frac{dj}{2}})^{k_j^{(\alpha)}} = (\lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}} - \lambda^{\frac{d}{2}}) \tag{3.28}$$

$$\sum_{R_\alpha}(-\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\ell_\alpha p_\alpha d}\chi_{R_\alpha}(C(\vec{k}^{(\alpha)}))S_{R_\alpha}(\lambda^d) ,$$

where $S_{R_\alpha}(\lambda)$ is zero if R_α is not a hook representation and if R_α is a hook representation with ℓ_α boxes with $\ell_\alpha - s_\alpha$ boxes in the first row (and we denote the representation as R_{ℓ_α,s_α}) then we have

$$S_{R_\alpha}(\lambda^d) = (-1)^{s_\alpha}\lambda^{d(-\frac{\ell_\alpha-1}{2}+s_\alpha)} \tag{3.29}$$

Using the properties(3.24),(3.28) in eqn.(3.26) we get

$$\ln\left(\alpha^{\sigma[L]}\frac{Z_0[M]}{(S_{00})^{r+1}}\right) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty}\sum_{g}\frac{1}{d}\left(2\sinh\frac{dg_s}{2}\right)^{2g-2}\{\sum_Q\sum_{\{\ell_\alpha\}}\sum_{\{s_\alpha\}}\hat{N}_{(R_{\ell_1,s_1},\ldots R_{\ell_r,s_r}),g,Q} \times$$

$$(-1)^{\sum_\alpha s_\alpha}(-1)^{d\sum_\alpha \ell_\alpha p_\alpha}\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}d\sum_\alpha \ell_\alpha p_\alpha}\left(\lambda^{d\{Q+\sum_\alpha(-\frac{\ell_\alpha}{2}+s_\alpha)\}} - \lambda^{d\{Q+1+\sum_\alpha(-\frac{\ell_\alpha}{2}+s_\alpha)\}}\right)\}$$

$$= \sum_{g,d,m}\frac{1}{d}(2\sinh\frac{dg_s}{2})^{2g-2}n_{g,m}e^{-dmt} \tag{3.30}$$

We can obtain the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $n_{g,m}$, and also the Gromov-Witten invariants, by evaluating the $\hat{N}_{(R_1,\dots R_r),g,Q}$ for various framed knots and links.

Before proceeding to outline the evaluation of the $\hat{N}$'s in the next subsection, we remind the reader that in our computation of the Gopakumar-Vafa and the Gromov-Witten invariants, we use the full Chern-Simons partition function in (3.30), and show that this has a closed string interpretation. For reasons that have been outlined in the introduction, our results do not constitute new dualities between Chern-Simons theory on M and closed A-type topological string theories, although we believe that these results would be very important for gaining a full understanding of the same.

Also, note that even though one can check that $\sum_\alpha(-\ell_\alpha/2+Q)$ is always an integer, the term $[(-1)\lambda^{1/2}]^{\sum_\alpha \ell_\alpha p_\alpha}$ within the parenthesis of (3.30) can be integral powers of λ (for arbitrary ℓ_α's) if and only if p_α's on the components knots are even. This suggests that the closed string expansion (3.27) is possible only for framed knots and links with even numbers of framing numbers p_α's on all the component knots.

3.2 Determination of the $\hat{N}$'s from framed link invariants

The general formula for f (3.11) in terms of framed link invariants (2.20) can be written as [19]

$$\begin{aligned} f_{R_1,R_2,\dots R_r}(q,\lambda) &= \lambda^{\sum_\alpha \ell_\alpha p_\alpha/2} \sum_{d,m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m-1}\frac{\mu(d)}{dm} \sum_{\{\vec{k}^{(\alpha j)},R_{\alpha j}\}} \times \\ & \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r} \chi_{R_\alpha}\left(C\left((\sum_{j=1}^{m}\vec{k}^{(\alpha j)})_d\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{|C(\vec{k}^{(\alpha j)})|}{\ell_{\alpha j}!} \times \\ & \chi_{R_{\alpha j}}(C(\vec{k}^{(\alpha j)}))\ \tilde{V}^{\{U(N)\}}_{R_{1j},R_{2j},\dots R_{rj}}[L,S^3](q^d,\lambda^d) \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

where $\mu(d)$ is the Moebius function defined as follows: if d has a prime decomposition $(\{p_i\})$, $d=\prod_{i=1}^{a} p_i^{m_i}$, then $\mu(d)=0$ if any of the m_i is greater than one. If all $m_i=1$, then $\mu(d)=(-1)^a$. The second sum in the above equation runs over all vectors $\vec{k}^{(\alpha j)}$, with $\alpha=1,\dots r$ and $j=1,\dots m$, such that $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r}|\vec{k}^{(\alpha j)}|>0$ for any j and over representations $R_{\alpha j}$. Further $\vec{k}_d$ is defined as follows: $(\vec{k}_d)_{di}=k_i$ and has zero entries for the other components. Therefore, if $\vec{k}=(k_1,k_2,\dots)$, then

$$\vec{k}_d=(0,\dots,0,k_1,0,\dots,0,k_2,0,\dots), \tag{3.32}$$

where k_1 is in the d-the entry, k_2 in the $2d$-th entry, and so on. Hence, one can directly evaluate f from $U(N)$ framed link invariants (2.20) and verify the conjecture (3.11). Using the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} M_{R_1,\ldots R_r;R'_1,\ldots R'_r} &= \sum_{R''_1,\ldots R''_r} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r} C_{R_\alpha R'_\alpha R''_\alpha} S_{R''_\alpha}(q) \ , \qquad (3.33) \\ \hat{f}_{(R'_1,\ldots R'_r)}(q,\lambda) &= (q^{-1/2}-q^{1/2})^{r-2} \sum_{g\geq 0,Q} \hat{N}_{(R'_1,\ldots R'_r),g,Q}(q^{-1/2}-q^{1/2})^{2g}\lambda^{Q} \ , \end{aligned}$$

we can write eqn.(3.31) as

$$f_{R_1,R_2,\ldots R_r}(q,\lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_\alpha \ell_\alpha p_\alpha} \sum_{R'_1,\ldots R'_r} M_{R_1,\ldots R_r;R'_1,\ldots R'_r} \hat{f}_{(R'_1,\ldots R'_r)}(q,\lambda) \ . \qquad (3.34)$$

In eqn.(3.33), $R_\alpha, R'_\alpha, R''_\alpha$ are representations of the symmetric group S_{ℓ_α} which can be labelled by a Young-Tableau with a total of ℓ_α boxes and $C_{RR'R''}$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of the symmetric group. In the next section, we will evaluate $\hat{N}$ for few framed knots, links and present the results of our computation of the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants and Gromov-Witten invariants.

4 Examples and explicit Results

Our aim in this section is to compute the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants and Gromov-Witten invariants corresponding to the Chern-Simons free energy on three manifolds obtained from surgery of the respective framed links in S^3.

4.1 Knots in standard framing

In standard framing, there is no distinction between $U(N)$ and $SU(N)$ knot invariants. Therefore for this class of knots with zero framing number, the Chern-Simons partition function will be

$$Z[M] = Z_0[M] \ , \qquad (4.1)$$

and the signature of the linking matrix $\sigma[L] = 0$. Substituting in eqn.(3.30), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \ln Z[M] - 2\ln Z[S^3] &= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty}\sum_{g} \frac{1}{d}\left(2\sinh\frac{dg_s}{2}\right)^{2g-2} \times \qquad (4.2) \\ &\quad \{\sum_{Q}\sum_{\ell,s} \hat{N}_{(R_{\ell,s}),g,Q}(-1)^{s}\left(\lambda^{d(Q-\frac{\ell}{2}+s)} - \lambda^{d(Q+1-\frac{\ell}{2}+s)}\right)\} \\ &= \sum_{g,d,m} \frac{1}{d}(2\sinh\frac{dg_s}{2})^{2g-2} n_{g,m} e^{-dmt} \end{aligned}$$

We will now compute the integer coefficients $n_{g,m}$ for few examples.

4.1.1 Unknot

The surgery of this simplest knot gives manifold $S^2 \times S^1$ whose Chern-Simons partition function $Z[S^2 \times S^1] = 1$. The non-zero $\hat{N}$'s for the simplest unknot is [5]

$$\hat{N}_{\square,0,Q=\pm 1/2} = \mp 1 \ . \tag{4.3}$$

Substituting this result in eqn.(4.2) and doing the appropriate analytic continuation $\lambda \to \lambda^{-1}$, the non-zero $n_{g,m}$ is

$$n_{0,1} = 2 \ . \tag{4.4}$$

Hence eqn.(4.2) reduces to

$$-2\ln Z[S^3] = \sum_d \frac{1}{d(2\sinh\frac{dg_s}{2})^2} 2e^{-dt} \ . \tag{4.5}$$

Clearly, the large N expansion of Chern-Simons free-energy on S^3 gives Gopakumar-Vafa invariant ($n_{g,m}[S^3]$)

$$n_{0,1}[S^3] = -1 \ . \tag{4.6}$$

Using the integer invariants, we can evaluate the Gromov-Witten invariants

$$N^0_{m>0}[S^3] = \frac{-1}{m^3} \ , N^1_{m>0}[S^3] = \frac{1}{12m} \ , N^2_{m>0}[S^3] = \frac{-m}{240} . \tag{4.7}$$

These invariants in the closed topological string partition function imply that the target Calabi-Yau space is a resolved conifold.

4.1.2 Torus knots of type (2, 2m+1)

The knots obtained as a closure of two-strand braid with $2m+1$ crossings are the type $(2, 2m+1)$ torus knots. The surgery of these torus knots in S^3 will give Seifert homology spheres $X(\frac{2}{-1}, \frac{2m+1}{m+1}, \frac{-(2m+1)}{1})$. It will be interesting to determine the Gopakumar-Vafa integer invariants and the closed Gromov-Witten invariants corresponding to large N expansion of Chern-Simons free-energy on such Seifert manifolds.

(i) The $\hat{N}_{R,g,Q}$ corresponding to the torus knot (2,5) for represenations upto three boxes are tabulated below

Q	*g=0*	*g=1*	*g=2*
3/2	3	4	1
5/2	-5	-5	-1
7/2	2	1	0

$\hat{N}_{\square,g,Q}$ for the torus knot $(2,5)$

Q	g=0	g=1	g=2	g=3	g=4	g=5	g=6	g=7
3	20	60	69	38	10	1	0	0
4	-80	-260	-336	-221	-78	-14	-1	0
5	120	400	534	366	136	26	2	0
6	-80	-260	-336	-221	-78	-14	-1	0
7	20	60	69	38	10	1	0	0

$\hat{N}_{\square\square\,,g,Q}$ for the torus knot $(2,5)$

Q	g=0	g=1	g=2	g=3	g=4	g=5	g=6	g=7
3	30	115	176	137	57	12	1	0
4	-120	-490	-819	-724	-365	-105	-16	-1
5	180	750	1286	1174	616	186	30	2
6	-120	-490	-819	-724	-365	-105	-16	-1
7	30	115	176	137	57	12	1	0

$\hat{N}_{\substack{\square\\\square},g,Q}$ for the torus knot $(2,5)$

g	Q=9/2	Q=11/2	Q=13/2	Q=15/2	Q=17/2	Q=19/2	Q=21/2
0	232	-1652	4820	-7400	6320	-2852	532
1	1436	-11626	37290	-61400	55140	-25726	4886
2	4046	-38060	135824	-241510	228824	-110390	21266
3	6781	-75590	303114	-584700	584729	-290550	56216
4	7384	-100086	456013	-958591	1011218	-514589	98651
5	5384	-92128	483836	-1115009	1240265	-642511	120163
6	2636	-60064	370471	-943863	1107524	-580839	104135
7	851	-27853	206727	-589169	730275	-385792	64961
8	173	-9107	83995	-272258	357280	-189269	29186
9	20	-2048	24548	-92689	129164	-68333	9338
10	1	-301	5020	-22898	34006	-17899	2071
11	0	-26	681	-3984	6331	-3304	302
12	0	-1	55	-462	789	-407	26
13	0	0	2	-32	59	-30	1
14	0	0	0	-1	2	-1	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

$\hat{N}_{\square\square\square\,,g,Q}$ for the torus knot $(2,5)$

g	Q=9/2	Q=11/2	Q=13/2	Q=15/2	Q=17/2	Q=19/2	Q=21/2
0	778	-5483	15755	-23750	19880	-8783	1603
1	5929	-46514	145060	-232875	204460	-93539	17479
2	20986	-186222	636631	-1095250	1012006	-479592	91441
3	44960	-458386	1732046	-3205225	3116781	-1523901	293725
4	64066	-764419	3219215	-6427475	6569210	-3295156	634559
5	63300	-905137	4290087	-9275345	9951953	-5092334	967476
6	44151	-780483	4213699	-9914620	11161326	-5796079	1072006
7	21814	-496526	3099128	-7990833	9440203	-4952673	878887
8	7564	-233985	1719912	-4905169	6086843	-3213286	538121
9	1795	-81304	720501	-2301847	3004880	-1590473	246448
10	277	-20526	226187	-823741	1133523	-599603	83883
11	25	-3656	52319	-222684	323786	-170666	20876
12	1	-435	8643	-44635	68760	-36018	3684
13	0	-31	964	-6421	10510	-5458	436
14	0	-1	65	-626	1092	-561	31
15	0	0	2	-37	69	-35	1
16	0	0	0	-1	2	-1	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

$\hat{N}_{\yng(2,1),g,Q}$ for the torus knot $(2,5)$.

g	Q=9/2	Q=11/2	Q=13/2	Q=15/2	Q=17/2	Q=19/2	Q=21/2
0	612	-4282	12170	-18100	14920	-6482	1162
1	5506	-42271	129215	-203600	175690	-79121	14581
2	23192	-198031	657139	-1103915	1000889	-467586	88312
3	59384	-572273	2078169	-3736000	3559209	-1718033	329544
4	101720	-1124627	4504027	-8688844	8685457	-4307675	829942
5	121996	-1578123	7029420	-14594746	15285709	-7746221	1481965
6	104816	-1625710	8134469	-18248211	20007137	-10307863	1935362
7	65114	-1249175	7106311	-17316684	19871498	-10362997	1885933
8	29205	-721405	4735067	-12623787	15169790	-7976692	1387822
9	9339	-313241	2415866	-7114329	8962560	-4735426	775231
10	2071	-101529	941668	-3102690	4104397	-2172177	328260
11	302	-24156	277825	-1042441	1450978	-766965	104457

$\hat{N}_{\yng(1,1,1),gQ}$ for the torus knot $(2,5)$

g	Q=9/2	Q=11/2	Q=13/2	Q=15/2	Q=17/2	Q=19/2	Q=21/2
12	26	-4090	60907	-266857	391757	-206277	24534
13	1	-466	9605	-51024	79211	-41446	4119
14	0	-32	1029	-7046	11600	-6018	467
15	0	-1	67	-663	1161	-596	32
16	0	0	2	-38	71	-36	1
17	0	0	0	-1	2	-1	0

$\hat{N}_{\substack{\Box\\ \Box},gQ}$ for the torus knot $(2,5)$ contd.

The surgery of the torus knot $(2,5)$ gives the Seifert manifold $M_1 \equiv X(\frac{2}{-1}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{-5}{1})$. Comparing powers of λ^{-1} (after analytic continuation) in eqn.(4.2) we can obtain Gopakumar-Vafa integer invariants ($n_{g,m}[M_1]$), corresponding to large N expansion of the Chern-Simons free energy on M_1, in terms of $\hat{N}$'s as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{g,1}[M_1] &= \hat{N}_{\Box,g,3/2} - 2\delta_{g,0}, \\
n_{g,2}[M_1] &= \hat{N}_{\Box,g,5/2} - \hat{N}_{\Box,g,3/2} + \hat{N}_{\Box\Box,g,3}, \\
n_{g,3}[M_1] &= \hat{N}_{\Box,g,7/2} - \hat{N}_{\Box,g,5/2} - \hat{N}_{\Box\Box,g,3} + \hat{N}_{\Box\Box,g,4} - \hat{N}_{\substack{\Box\\ \Box},g,3} + \hat{N}_{\Box\Box\Box,g,9/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

m	g=0	g=1	g=2	g=3	g=4	g=5	g=6	g=7	g=8	g=9	g=10	$g \geq 11$
1	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	12	51	67	38	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	109	1407	3466	6385	7239	5357	2634	851	173	20	1	0

$n_{g,m}[M_1]$

From these invariants, we can extract Gromov-Witten invariants which are rational numbers. A few of them are given below

m	g=0	g=1	g =2
1	1	$\frac{47}{12}$	$\frac{241}{240}$
2	$\frac{97}{8}$	$\frac{1247}{24}$	$\frac{8287}{120}$

$N^g_m[M_1]$

(ii) The $\hat{N}_{R,g,Q}$ computation of the torus knot $(2,7)$ will be

Q	g=0	g=1	g=2	g=3
5/2	-4	-10	-6	-1
7/2	7	14	7	1
9/2	-3	-4	-1	0

$\hat{N}_{\Box,g,Q}$ for the torus knot $(2,7)$

g	Q=5	Q=6	Q=7	Q=8	Q=9
0	-84	336	-504	336	-84
1	-574	2380	-3612	2380	-574
2	-1652	7182	-11060	7182	-1652
3	-2623	12144	-19042	12144	-2623
4	-2529	12739	-20420	12739	-2529
5	-1536	8673	-14274	8673	-1536
6	-589	3892	-6606	3892	-589
7	-138	1141	-2006	1141	-138
8	-18	210	-384	210	-18
9	-1	22	-42	22	-1
10	0	1	-2	1	0
11	0	0	0	0	0

$\hat{N}_{\square\square,g,Q}$ for the torus knot $(2,7)$

Q=5	Q=6	Q=7	Q=8	Q=9
-112	448	-672	448	-112
-896	3696	-5600	3696	-896
-3052	13104	-20104	13104	-3052
-5812	26300	-40976	26300	-5812
-6844	33188	-52688	33188	-6844
-5212	27692	-44960	27692	-5212
-2607	15640	-26066	15640	-2607
-849	6003	-10308	6003	-849
-173	1541	-2736	1541	-173
-20	253	-466	253	-20
-1	24	-46	24	-1
0	1	-2	1	0

$\hat{N}_{\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix},g,Q}$ for the torus knot $(2,7)$

From eqn.(4.2), we can obtain Gopakumar-Vafa integer invariants $n_{g,m}[M_2]$ corresponding to Chern-Simons theory on Seifert manifold $M_2 = X(\frac{2}{-1}, \frac{7}{4}, \frac{-7}{1})$. We present few of them in terms of $\hat{N}_{R,g,Q}$

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{g,1}[M_2] &= -2\delta_{g,0} \\
n_{g,2}[M_2] &= \hat{N}_{\square,g,5/2} \\
n_{g,3}[M_2] &= \hat{N}_{\square,g,7/2} - \hat{N}_{\square,g,5/2} \\
n_{g,4}[M_2] &= \hat{N}_{\square,g,9/2} - \hat{N}_{\square,g,7/2} + \hat{N}_{\square\square,g,5} \\
n_{g,5}[M_2] &= -\hat{N}_{\square,g,9/2} - \hat{N}_{\square\square,g,5} + \hat{N}_{\square\square,g,6} - \hat{N}_{\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix},g,5}
\end{aligned} \qquad (4.9)$$

From these integer invariants, it is straightforward to obtain Gromov-Witten rational numbers.

It appears from the computation of $\hat{N}_{R,g,Q}$'s for the two torus knots that the range of Q is $\ell(2m-1)/2 \leq Q \leq \ell(2m+3)/2$. This range of Q allows finite number of $\hat{N}$'s to contribute to $n_{g,m}, N^g_m$ invariants. Thus we see that the large N expansion of the Chern-Simons free energy on Seifert manifolds obtained from surgery of torus knots of type $(2, 2m+1)$ can be given a closed string interpretation. The target Calabi-Yau space Y corresponding to the closed topological string theory must have the Gopakumar-Vafa integer invariants and closed Gromov-Witten invariants determined from Chern-Simons theory. So far, we considered only knots in standard framing. In the next subsection we address three-manifolds obtained from framed knots in S^3.

4.2 Framed Knots

We have seen that the Chern-Simons partition function $Z[M]$, corresponding to manifolds obtained from knots with non-zero framing number, can be approximated to $Z_0[M]$ in the limit $N \to \infty$, $g_s \to 0$. We shall now determine $\ln Z_0[M]$ for framed unknot with even framing number $2p$.

Unknot with framing p: The surgery of p-framed unknot results in Lens spaces $\mathcal{L}(p, 1)$. The $\hat{N}$'s for the unknot with framing $p = 4$ are

Q	*g=0*
-1/2	1
1/2	-1

$\hat{N}_{\Box,g,Q}$ for the unknot with framing $p = 4$

Q	*g=0*	*g=1*	*g=2*	*g=3*
-1	2	1	0	0
0	-6	-5	-1	0
1	4	4	1	0

$\hat{N}_{\Box\Box,g,Q}$ for the unknot with $p = 4$

Q	*g=0*	*g=1*	*g=2*	*g=3*
-1	4	4	1	0
0	-10	-15	-7	-1
1	6	11	6	1

$\hat{N}_{\begin{smallmatrix}\Box\\\Box\end{smallmatrix},g,Q}$ for the unknot with $p = 4$

Q	*g=0*	*g=1*	*g=2*	*g=3*	*g=4*	*g=5*	*g=6*	*g=7*	*g=8*	*g=9*	*g=10*
-3/2	12	26	22	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
-1/2	-58	-181	-246	-175	-67	-13	-1	0	0	0	0
1/2	86	335	582	550	298	92	15	1	0	0	0
3/2	-40	-180	-358	-383	-232	-79	-14	-1	0	0	0

$\hat{N}_{\Box\Box\Box,g,Q}$ for the unknot with framing $p = 4$

Q	*g=0*	*g=1*	*g=2*	*g=3*	*g=4*	*g=5*	*g=6*	*g=7*	*g=8*	*g=9*	*g=10*
-3/2	46	155	224	167	66	13	1	0	0	0	0
-1/2	-206	-915	-1836	-2057	-1377	-561	-136	-18	-1	0	0
1/2	290	1545	3768	5226	4446	2394	817	171	20	1	0
3/2	-130	-785	-2156	-3336	-3135	-1846	-682	-153	-19	-1	0

$\hat{N}_{\begin{smallmatrix}\Box\Box\\\Box\end{smallmatrix},g,Q}$ for the unknot with framing $p = 4$

Q	g=0	g=1	g=2	g=3	g=4	g=5	g=6	g=7	g=8	g=9	g=10
-3/2	40	180	358	383	232	79	14	1	0	0	0
-1/2	-170	-965	-2514	-3719	-3367	-1925	-696	-154	-19	-1	0
1/2	230	1535	4746	8446	9374	6748	3196	987	191	21	1
3/2	-100	-750	-2590	-5110	-6239	-4902	-2514	-834	-172	-20	-1

$\hat{N}_{\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix},g,Q}$ for the unknot with framing $p = 4$

Using these $\hat{N}_{R,g,Q}$, we can determine Gopakumar-Vafa integer invariants $n_{g,m}[\mathcal{L}(4,1)]$ from the expansion of $\ln Z_0[\mathcal{L}(p,1)]$. We present some of the non-zero coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{g,1}[\mathcal{L}(4,1)] &= \hat{N}_{\square,g,-1/2}\delta_{g,0} - 2\delta_{g,0}\ , \\
n_{g\leq 1,2}[\mathcal{L}(4,1)] &= \hat{N}_{\square,g,1/2} - \hat{N}_{\square,g,-1/2} + \hat{N}_{\square\square,g,-1}\ , \\
n_{g\leq 4,3}[\mathcal{L}(4,1)] &= -\hat{N}_{\square,g,1/2} + \hat{N}_{\square\square,g,0} - \hat{N}_{\square\square,g,-1} - \hat{N}_{\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix},g,-1} + \hat{N}_{\square\square\square,g,-3/2}\ , \\
n_{g,4}[\mathcal{L}(4,1)] &= \hat{N}_{\square\square,g,1} - \hat{N}_{\square\square,g,0} - \hat{N}_{\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix},g,0} + \hat{N}_{\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix},g,-1} + \hat{N}_{\square\square\square,g,-1/2}\ , \\
&\quad -\hat{N}_{\square\square\square,g,-3/2} - \hat{N}_{\begin{smallmatrix}\square\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix},g,-3/2} + \hat{N}_{\square\square\square\square,g,-2}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

and the closed Gromov-Witten rational numbers can be deduced from the integer invariants. In the appendix, we have the $\hat{N}_{R,g,Q}$ for representations upto two boxes for the unknot with arbitrary framing. They will be useful to determine $n_{g,m}$ corresponding to Chern-Simons theory on Lens spaces $\mathcal{L}(2p,1)$. In the following subsection, we will consider framed links.

4.3 Framed Links

We take Hopf link $H^*(p_1,p_2)$ with linking number $\ell k = -1$ and the framing on the two component knots as $p_1 = p_2 = 4$. Surgery of such a framed link in S^3 will give Lens space $\mathcal{L}(15,4)$. The $\hat{N}_{(R_1,R_2),g,Q} = \hat{N}_{(R_2,R_1),g,Q}$ for this example is tabulated below:

Q	g=0
-1	1
0	-1

$\hat{N}_{(\square,\square),g,Q}$ for the framed Hopf link $H^*(4,4)$

Q	g=0	g=1	g=2	g=3
-3/2	3	1	0	0
-1/2	-9	-6	-1	0
1/2	6	5	1	0

$\hat{N}_{(\square\square,\square),g,Q}$ for $H^*(4,4)$

Q	g=0	g=1	g=2	g=3
-3/2	6	5	1	0
-1/2	-16	-20	-8	-1
1/2	10	15	7	1

$\hat{N}_{(\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix},\square),g,Q}$ for $H^*(4,4)$

Q	g=0	g=1	g=2	g=3	g=4	g=5	g=6	g=7	g=8	g=9	g=10
-2	23	41	29	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
-1	-117	-312	-367	-230	-79	-14	-1	0	0	0	0
0	180	606	920	771	376	106	16	1	0	0	0
1	-86	-335	-582	-550	-298	-92	-15	-1	0	0	0

$\hat{N}_{(\yng(3),\yng(1)),g,Q}$ for the framed Hopf link $H^*(4,4)$

Q	g=0	g=1	g=2	g=3	g=4	g=5	g=6	g=7	g=8	g=9	g=10
-2	94	271	338	221	78	14	1	0	0	0	0
-1	-438	-1697	-3001	-3003	-1820	-680	-153	-19	-1	0	0
0	634	2971	6431	8008	6188	3060	969	190	21	1	0
1	-290	-1545	-3768	-5226	-4446	-2394	-817	-171	-20	-1	0

$\hat{N}_{(\yng(2,1),\yng(1)),g,Q}$ for the framed Hopf link $H^*(4,4)$

Q	g=0	g=1	g=2	g=3	g=4	g=5	g=6	g=7	g=8	g=9	g=10
-2	86	335	582	550	298	92	15	1	0	0	0
-1	-376	-1880	-4350	-5776	-4744	-2486	-832	-172	-20	-1	0
0	520	3080	8514	13672	13820	9142	4013	1158	211	22	1
1	-230	-1535	-4746	-8446	-9374	-6748	-3196	-987	-191	-21	-1

$\hat{N}_{(\yng(1,1,1),\yng(1)),g,Q}$ for the framed Hopf link $H^*(4,4)$

Q	g=0	g=1	g=2	g=3	g=4	g=5	g=6
-2	15	17	7	1	0	0	0
-1	-60	-83	-45	-11	-1	0	0
0	81	126	75	20	2	0	0
1	-36	-60	-37	-10	-1	0	0

$\hat{N}_{(\yng(2),\yng(2)),g,Q}$ for the framed Hopf link $H^*(4,4)$

Q	g=0	g=1	g=2	g=3	g=4	g=5	g=6
-2	27	45	30	9	1	0	0
-1	-105	-206	-165	-66	-13	-1	0
0	138	301	262	113	24	2	0
1	-60	-140	-127	-56	-12	-1	0

$\hat{N}_{(\yng(2),\yng(1,1)),g,Q}$ for the framed Hopf link $H^*(4,4)$

Q	*g=0*	*g=1*	*g=2*	*g=3*	*g=4*	*g=5*	*g=6*
-2	48	106	99	47	11	1	0
-1	-184	-466	-501	-287	-91	-15	-1
0	236	660	767	470	159	28	2
1	-100	-300	-365	-230	-79	-14	-1

$\hat{N}_{(\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix},\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix}),g,Q}$ for the framed Hopf link $H^*(4,4)$

When one of the representations is trivial, the $\hat{N}_{(.,R),g,Q}$'s will be equal to the invariants $\hat{N}_{R,g,Q}$'s computed for unknot with framing $p=4$.

The Gopakumar-Vafa invariants from the expansion of $\ln Z_0[\mathcal{L}(15,4)]$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{g,1}[\mathcal{L}(15,4)] &= 2n_{g,1}[\mathcal{L}(4,1)] + \delta_{g,0}\ , \\
n_{g,2}[\mathcal{L}(15,4)] &= \delta_{g,0}\hat{N}_{(\square,\square),g,-1} + 2n_{g,2}[\mathcal{L}(4,1)]\ , \\
n_{g\leq 1,3}[\mathcal{L}(15,4)] &= \hat{N}_{(\square,\square),g,0} - \hat{N}_{(\square,\square),g,-1} + 2\hat{N}_{(\square\square,\square),g,-3/2} + 2n_{g,3}[\mathcal{L}(4,1)]\ , \\
n_{g\leq 4,4}[\mathcal{L}(15,4)] &= -\hat{N}_{(\square,\square),g,0} - 2\hat{N}_{(\square\square,\square),g,-3/2} + 2\hat{N}_{(\square\square,\square),g,-1/2} \\
&\quad -2\hat{N}_{(\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix},\square),g,-3/2} + 2\hat{N}_{(\square\square\square,\square),g,-2} + \hat{N}_{(\square\square,\square\square),g,-2} \\
&\quad +2n_{g,4}[\mathcal{L}(4,1)]\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

These examples suggest that the Chern-Simons partition function on various manifolds can be given a A-model closed string theory interpretation. From the prediction of Gopakumar-Vafa integer invariants it should be possible to determine the nature of the Calabi-Yau background.

5 Summary and Conclusions

We have studied $U(N)$ Chern-Simons gauge theory and framed link invariants in S^3, for specific choice of $U(1)$ representations placed on the component knots of the link. From these $U(N)$ framed link invariants in S^3, we have constructed three-manifold invariants which are the same as $SU(N)$ three-manifold invariants. These invariants are proportional to the Chern-Simons partition function $Z[M]$ on the corresponding three-manifolds.

In this paper, we have used the results of the topological string duality conjecture relating Chern-Simons theory on S^3 to closed A model topological string theory on the resolved conifold, to obtain large N expansions of the Chern-Simons free-energy ($\ln Z[M]$) on some non-trivial manifolds. The closed string theory expansion resembles the A-model topological string theory on a Calabi-Yau space with one

Kahler parameter. We have computed the Gopakumar-Vafa integer invariants and the Gromov Witten invariants associated with Chern-Simons partition function on three-manifolds like Seifert manifolds, Lens spaces etc.

We need to understand some subtle issues about the Chern-Simons partition function on any three-manifold M. As we have pointed out in the introduction, the classical solutions of the Chern-Simons action are the flat connections on M, and in the weak coupling (large k) limit, the partition function, which may be a sum or integral over the space of flat connections, can be written as

$$Z[M] = \sum_c Z_c[M] \equiv \int_{\mu_c} Z_c[M] \ , \tag{5.1}$$

where $Z_c[M]$ is obtained from perturbative expansion around a stationary point $A = A_c$. The large N expansion proposed by 't Hooft requires $\ln Z_c[M]$ to have a closed string interpretation whereas we have shown in this paper that $\ln Z[M]$ has a closed string expansion for many manifolds.

Note that for the case of the three-sphere S^3, there is only one stationary point, which is the trivial connection. Therefore $Z[S^3]$ is also equal to the perturbative expansion around the trivial connection and hence the closed string interpretation is expected from 't Hooft's formulation.

In the context of Lens spaces $\mathcal{L}(p,1)$, the space of flat connections is a set of points. In ref. [17], $Z[M]$ has been rewritten as a sum over all flat connections, enabling the extraction of the perturbative partition function around a non-trivial flat connection ($Z_c[M]$) for $\mathcal{L}(p,1)$. It has been shown from the matrix model approach that $Z_c[M]$ can be given a closed string theoretic interpretation. The results establish the duality between Chern-Simons theory on Lens spaces $\mathcal{L}(p,1)$ and closed string theory on a A_{p-1} singularity fibred over P^1. The Gopakumar Vafa integer invariants that we have computed for Lens spaces $\mathcal{L}(2p,1)$ correspond to $\ln\left(\sum_c Z_c[M]\right)$. There must be some relation between these integer invariants and the corresponding invariants on A_{2p-1} singularity fibred over P^1 at some special values of the Kahler parameters. We hope to decipher such interesting relations in future.

It appears to be a difficult task to rewrite $Z[M]$ as a sum or integral over flat connections to determine $Z_c[M]$ for other three-manifolds like Seifert-manifolds. The challenge lies in determining $Z_c[M]$ and the closed string expansion to precisely state new duality conjectures between Chern-Simons theory on M and closed string theory. We leave the study of these aspects for a future publication.

Acknowledgements
PR would like to thank M. Marino and C. Vafa for discussions during the initial stages of the project, and is grateful to N. Habegger for comments. She would like to thank the Adbus Salam ICTP for providing local hospitality and an excellent academic atmosphere during her visit under the ICTP Junior Associateship scheme. We would like to thank S. Govindarajan, T. R Govindarajan, M. Marino, K. Ray and G. Thompson for discussions and clarifications. PB would like to thank CSIR for the grant.

Appendix

A $\hat{N}_{R,g,Q}$ for the unknot with arbitrary framing p

For an unknot with arbitrary framing p, the $\hat{N}_{R,g,Q}$ for fundamental representation is

$$\hat{N}_{\square,0,Q=\pm 1/2} = \mp(-1)^p \ , \hat{N}_{\square,g\neq 0,Q} = 0 \ . \tag{A.1}$$

For representations involving two boxes in the Young-Tableau, $\hat{N}$'s for arbitrary g can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{N}_{\square\square,p-s,-1} &= \{\frac{\theta(s-3)}{(s-3)!}(2p-2s+2)(2p-2s+3)\ldots(2p-s-2) \\
&\quad +\frac{\theta(s-5)}{(s-5)!}(2p-2s+2)(2p-2s+3)\ldots(2p-s-4)+\ldots\} \\
&\quad +x\theta(s-2) \ , && \text{(A.2)} \\
\hat{N}_{\square\square,p-s,0} &= -\delta_{s,2}-\frac{\theta(s-2)}{(s-2)!}(2p-2s+3)(2p-2s+4)\ldots(2p-s) && \text{(A.3)} \\
\hat{N}_{\square\square,p-s,1} &= \{\frac{\theta(s-2)}{(s-2)!}(2p-2s+2)(2p-2s+3)\ldots(2p-s-1) \\
&\quad +\frac{\theta(s-4)}{(s-4)!}(2p-2s+2)(2p-2s+3)\ldots(2p-s-3)+\ldots\} \\
&\quad +y\theta(s-1) \ , && \text{(A.4)} \\
\hat{N}_{\substack{\square\\\square},p-s,-1} &= \{\frac{\theta(s-2)}{(s-2)!}(2p-2s+2)(2p-2s+3)\ldots(2p-s-1) \\
&\quad +\frac{\theta(s-4)}{(s-4)!}(2p-2s+2)(2p-2s+3)\ldots(2p-s-3)+\ldots\} \\
&\quad +y\theta(s-1) \ , && \text{(A.5)} \\
\hat{N}_{\substack{\square\\\square},p-s,0} &= -\delta_{s,1}-\frac{\theta(s-1)}{(s-1)!}(2p-2s+3)(2p-2s+4)\ldots(2p-s+1) && \text{(A.6)} \\
\hat{N}_{\substack{\square\\\square},p-s,1} &= \{\frac{\theta(s-1)}{(s-1)!}(2p-2s+2)(2p-2s+3)\ldots(2p-s) \\
&\quad +\frac{\theta(s-3)}{(s-3)!}(2p-2s+2)(2p-2s+3)\ldots(2p-s-2)+\ldots\} \\
&\quad +x\theta(s) \ , && \text{(A.7)}
\end{aligned}$$

with $x=1$ & $y=0$ for odd s, $x=0$ & $y=1$ for even s and $\theta(u)$ is the usual theta function which is equal to one if $u>0$ and zero if $u\leq 0$. The negative framing $(-p)$

BPS integers are related to the positive framing integers [8]

$$\hat{N}^{(p)}_{(R_1,\ldots R_r),g,Q} = (-1)^{\sum_\alpha \ell_\alpha - 1} \hat{N}^{(-p)}_{(R^t_1,\ldots R^t_r),g,-Q} \ , \tag{A.8}$$

where R^t_α's are obtained by transposing the rows and columns in the Young-Tableau representations. These integers are consistent with Marino-Vafa results for $g = 0, 1, 2$ [9].

References

[1] R. Gopakumar, C. Vafa, "M-Theory and Topological Strings, I," `hep-th/9809187`.

[2] R. Gopakumar, C. Vafa, "On the Gauge Theory/ Geometry Correspondence," `hep-th/9811131`.

[3] R. Gopakumar, C. Vafa, "M-Theory and Topological Strings, II," hep-th/9812127.

[4] E. Witten, "Chern-Simons Gauge Theory as a String Theory," `hep-th/9207094`

[5] H. Ooguri, C. Vafa, "Knot Invariants and Topological Strings," Nucl. Phys. **B577**, 419, (2000), `hep-th/9912123`.

[6] J. M. F. Labastida, M. Marino, "Polynomial Invariants for Torus Knots and Topological Strings," `hep-th/0004196`.

[7] P. Ramadevi, T. Sarkar, "On Link Invariants and Topological String Amplitudes," Nucl. Phys. **B 600** (2001) 487.

[8] J. M. F Labastida, M. Marino, C. Vafa, "Knots, Links and Branes at Large N," JHEP11 (2000) 007, `hep-th/0010102`

[9] M. Marino, C. Vafa, " Framed Knots at Large N," `hep-th/0108064`.

[10] M. Aganagic, C. Vafa, "Mirror symmetry, D-branes and counting holomorphic discs," `hep-th/0012041`.

[11] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, C. Vafa, "Disk instantons, mirror symmetry and the duality web," Z. Naturforsch. **A 57** (2002), `hep-th/0105045`.

[12] S. Govindarajan, T. Jayaraman and T. Sarkar, "Disc instantons in linear sigma models," Nucl. Phys. **B646**, (2002) 498, `hep-th/0108234`.

[13] W. Lerche, P. Mayr and N. Warner, 'N = 1 special geometry, mixed Hodge variations and toric geometry," `hep-th/0208039`.

[14] G. 't Hooft, "A Planar Diagram Theory for Strong Interactions," Nucl. Phys. **B 72** (1974) 461.

[15] W. B. R Lickorish, "3-manifolds and the Temperley Lieb Algebra," Math. Ann **290** (1991), 657; "Three-manifold invariants from combinatorics of Jones polynomial," Pac. J. Math, **149** (1991) 337.

[16] R.K. Kaul, P. Ramadevi, " Three-Manifold Invariants from Chern-Simons Field Theory with Arbitrary Semi-Simple Gauge Groups," Commun.Math.Phys. **217** (2001) 295, `hep-th/0005096`.

[17] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Marino and C. Vafa, "Matrix model as a mirror of Chern-Simons theory," `hep-th/0211098`.

[18] P.Ramadevi, S. Naik, "Computation of Lickorish's Three Manifold Invariants using Chern-Simons Theory," Commun. Math. Phys. **209** (2000) 29, `hep-th/9901061`.

[19] J.M.F. Labastida, M. Marino, " A New Point of View in the Theory of Knot and Link Invariants," `math.QA/0104180`, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **11** (2002) 173.